





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. David W. Sherrer 03/06/2000 ACT-111 8879 09/519,165 **EXAMINER** 01/13/2004 7590 JONATHAN D. BASKIN WATKINS III, WILLIAM P c/o EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER P.O. BOX 9169 BOSTON, MA 02209 1772 **DATE MAILED: 01/13/2004**

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						A2
		/	Application No.	- 1	Applicant(s)	
	Office Action Symmony		09/519,165		SHERRER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		E	Examiner		Art Unit	
			William P. Watkins III		1772	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this common or Reply	unication appea	ars on the cover shee	et with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU nsions of time may be available under the provisions (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this corperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty period for reply is specified above, the maximum reto reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three monthed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(: mmunication. (30) days, a reply wi statutory period will : ply will, by statute, ca s after the mailing da	a). In no event, however, maithin the statutory minimum of apply and will expire SIX (6) ause the application to becon	ay a reply be tim of thirty (30) days MONTHS from ne ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this cord (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on <u>03 Nov</u>	ember 2003.			
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This ac	tion is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>13-30</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to rest	riction and/or e	election requirement			
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/ar	e: a)∏ accep	ted or b)☐ objected	I to by the E	xaminer.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) includi	ng the correctior	n is required if the drav	ving(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFF	R 1.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Exar	miner. Note the attac	ched Office	Action or form PT0	D-152.
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
	Acknowledgment is made of a clai ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of	:	-	.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
* (Certified copies of the priori Certified copies of the priori Copies of the certified copie application from the Internation 	ty documents hes of the priority tional Bureau (nave been received y documents have be PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	een receive	ed in this National S	Stage
13)□ <i>A</i> s 3	Acknowledgment is made of a claim ince a specific reference was included the control of the foreign I included the control of the c	n for domestic p ded in the first s	oriority under 35 U.S sentence of the spec	S.C. § 119(e cification or	e) (to a provisional in an Application D	
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim eference was included in the first se	n for domestic	oriority under 35 U.S	S.C. §§ 120	and/or 121 since a	
Attachmen	t(s)					
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449		5) Notice	of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-	

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The 112 new matter rejection is withdrawn in view of applicant's arguments in the paper filed 03 November 2003.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 13-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ayliffe et al. (U.S. 5,522,000).

See the Figure. Element 16a is a pad, which takes solder in a bumping process to secure an electrical component. Element 10 is a silicon layer, with a dielectric layer (element 14), which may be silica, on top of the silicon substrate, with pads 16b on top of the dielectric layer. The space between pads 16b and 16a forms a "U" shape. A groove is etched between pads 16b to hold an optical element (element 18). The edges of pads 16b, the dielectric layer, and the silicon substrate layer are aligned and form the sidewalls of the groove. Regarding claim

Art Unit: 1772

30, element 16a has a region for connection to a laser device and a bottom portion for further electrical connection.

4. Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pedder (GB 2 215 087 A).

See Figures 3 and 4, which show a groove with aligned metal and dielectric sidewalls and separate metal pads that could be used for electrical connection and optical-electric connection. The regions for receiving a device and electrical connection in claim 30 are taken as intended uses and the structure of the applied reference has to be only capable of the function and not teach the actual connections.

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 13-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ayliffe et al. (U.S. 5,522,000).

Art Unit: 1772

In the event that the disclosure mentioned in the above rejection is not deemed an anticipation, it is the position of the examiner that the instant invention would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in view of the above mentioned disclosure. Variation in the exact shapes of the pads and etched grooves is taken to have been within the ordinary skill of the art depending on the shape of the optical and electrical elements to be secured to the substrate.

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 13-30, filed 03 November 2003, have been considered but are not deemed persuasive.

Applicant argues that the dielectric layer of Ayliffe et al. (element 14) is not planar because one of ordinary skill in the art would know that the method used to form the layer would not result in a planar surface. The examiner takes this, as being only "attorney argument" not supported by any actual evidence of the ordinary skill of the art. The examiner continues to maintain that the common meaning of planar is flat. The top exposed surface of element 14 in the Figure of Ayliffe et al. appears to be flat or planar and thus meets applicant's new "planar" language. New claim 30 is addressed in the above

Art Unit: 1772

rejections. The office action is non-final in view of the Interview Summary mailed 17 November 2003.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William P. Watkins III whose telephone number is 571-272-1503. The examiner works an increased flex time schedule, but can normally be reached Monday through Friday, 11:30 A.M. through 8:00 P.M. Eastern Time. The examiner returns all calls within one business day unless an extended absence is noted on his voice mail greeting.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

WW/ww January 9, 2004

> WILLIAM P. WATKINS III PRIMARY EXAMINER

Milliand Walls

Page 5